Home
Popular
Answers BETA
Explore
All
Chat
CUSTOM FEEDS
Create a custom feed
RECENT
r/moldova
r/LocationReddits
r/yorkshire
r/basingstoke
r/Flanders
COMMUNITIES
Create a community
Manage communities
r/90DayFiance
r/acne
r/alappuzha
r/alaska
r/AlaskanMalam...
r/algeria
r/AlgerianAquaLife
r/Algiers
r/AmazonPrimeV...
r/americaneskimo
r/AmericanHorro...
r/AnointedAK
r/antarctica
r/Archeology
r/Argonian
r/ArizonaTea
r/Aruba
r/AskEngineers
r/AskReddit
r/askscience
r/AskScienceFict...
r/aviation
r/Awwducational
r/Banknotes
r/bda
r/belgium
r/betterCallSaul
r/Bilaspur
r/biology
r/BoJackHorsem...
r/boonai
r/boulder
r/bourbon
r/BravoRealHous...
r/breakingbad
r/brooklynninenine
r/Brunei
r/bulgaria
r/CanaryIslands
r/cars
r/castles
r/CCW
r/centralcoastnsw
r/chemistry
r/chengalpattup...
r/Chennai
r/ChicoCA
r/ChitraLoka
r/CoinsFromEuro...
r/compsci
r/coolguides
r/CryptidResearc...
r/DailyNauruFacts
r/Damnthatsinter...
r/dataisbeautiful
r/datascience
r/Denver
r/Dinosaurs
r/DisneyPlus
r/DKbrevkasse
r/doha
r/Drexel
r/DunderMifflin
r/Economics
r/euphoria
r/Fitness
r/Flagstaff
r/Fluz
r/ForgottenBook...
r/forgottenmojave
r/FortRucker
r/FoundPaper
r/funny
r/Futurology
r/Gadsden
r/Gaza
r/GetMotivated
r/ginnyandgeorgi...
r/glacier
r/greatpyrenees
r/greysanatomy
r/grunge
r/guymon
r/Hackberry_AZ
r/hamstercare
r/harate
r/hbo
r/HCSO
r/Hedera
r/history
r/HouseOfTheDr...
r/Hulu
r/IASIP
r/IndiaSpeaks
r/insects
r/intothebadlands
r/japanpics
r/Jerusalem
r/JewsOfConscie...
r/Jigsawpuzzles
r/Kazakhstan
r/KDRAMA
r/kerning
r/KeystoneRV
r/koreanvariety
r/Kyusha
r/languagelearning
r/LiveFromNewY...
r/LocationReddits
r/LOTR_on_Prime
r/LoveIsBlindOn...
r/LucknowSuper...
r/ludhiana
r/LynnwoodWA
r/MadeMeSmile
r/Mandalorian
r/MapPorn
r/Marana
r/maryland
r/math
r/missoula
r/MissoulaMonta...
r/moldova
r/MonarchButter...
r/Naestved
r/nasa
r/NASCAR
r/NatureIsFuckin...
r/nauru
r/NetflixBestOf
r/netflixwitcher
r/NewKeralaRev...
r/NTU
r/oceans
r/oiseaux
r/okinawa
r/Omaha
r/painting
r/PandR
r/Permaculture
r/philosophy
r/phoenix
r/Physics
r/PostcardProject
r/psychology
r/pudu
r/puer
r/pune
r/ramen
r/RandomActsof...
r/RandomActsof...
r/Raumfahrt
r/RedRocks
r/ricohGR
r/roadtrip
r/rockhounds
r/RoswellNM
r/Rumi
r/rupaulsdragrace
r/rva
r/sanfrancisco
r/SanJose
r/Saybia
r/science
r/sciencememes
r/Seattle
r/seinfeld
r/shikoku
r/SolanoCounty
r/Soomaaliland
r/southpark
r/space
r/spacex
r/Spiderman
r/StarWars
r/StateHumans
r/statenisland
r/StrangerThings
r/subaru
r/survivor
r/sypherpk
r/Syria
r/sysor
r/Tahiti
r/Tajmahaltomb
r/TamilTwenties
r/tarheels
r/Tatort
r/tcltvs
r/TeenMomOGan...
r/Tegu
r/Tempe
r/Thailand
r/thebachelor
r/TheBoys
r/TheGoodPlace
r/thegrandtour
r/TheGreatNorth
r/TheLastAirben...
r/thelastofus
r/TheOwlHouse
r/ThePeripheral
r/TheSimpsons
r/thewalkingdead
r/TheWhiteLotus...
r/Tikrit
r/todayilearned
r/travisscott
r/Trivandrum
r/Truckers
r/Tuvalu
r/TwoSentenceH...
r/UNC
r/USDiaspora
r/Uyghur
r/VintageFashion
r/Watches
r/Wednesday
r/Winslow
r/worldnews
r/YellowstonePN
r/Yokohama
r/ZionNationalPark
RESOURCES
About Reddit
Advertise
Reddit Pro BETA
Help
Blog
Careers
Press
Communities
Best of Reddit
Topics
Reddit Rules
Privacy Policy
User Agreement
Accessibility
Join the conversation
Sort by: Q&A (Default)
Search Comments
Reply
Share

u/CurveSad2086 4 days ago
CurveSad2086

My full essay + my appeal form to NTU - full transparency

my appeal form: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1E31jsbqp0gA7ToCgjOhWn2iTUWxU4eak/view?usp=sharing

final essay: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1nnpfWpZctDmVaBhIgJP5Gn_2s6Wed2rE/view?usp=sharing

Feel free to pick it apart and see for yourself whether this is AI-generated. I'm done with this case.

Anything can be considered AI these days, just be careful and use my case as a precedent for how the university's not on your side.

0 · 173 · Share

LifeRoll8099 • 4d ago • Edited 3d ago

I have read the paper and scrutinized the citation list. For those who are interested in the paper's content, here are some (non-exhaustive) observations regarding the paper, focusing on three sources cited by the OP.

Citation 1: "The Wasp. (1882). ***San Francisco's Three Graces*** **[Cartoon]. https://www.foundsf.org/Chinese_as_Medical_Scapegoats,_1870-1905#:~:text=Chinese%20and%20Chinese,reason%20to%20restrict%20Chinese%20immigration"**

OP cites, and reproduces in her essay, a cartoon from 1882 which she titles "San Francisco's Three Graces". However, the title "Three Graces" (while accurate), does not originate from the cited weblink, where the cartoon's file image is titled "File:Chinatwn$disease-ghosts.jpg". Nowhere else in the essay is the origin of the cartoon's title stated.

From what exact source was the cartoon's title obtained? That source should have been cited.

Citation 2: "Wang, F., Lee, J., & Zhang, S. (2021). 'I'm more afraid of racism than of the virus!': Racism awareness and resistance among Chinese migrants and their descendants in France during the COVID-19 pandemic. European Societies, 23 (S1), S721–S742. https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2020.1836384"

When the weblink is accessed, MIT Press Direct gives the citation as "**Simeng Wang, Xiabing Chen, Yong Li, Chloé Luu, Ran Yan, Francesco Madrisotti**; 'I'm more afraid of racism than of the virus!': racism awareness and resistance among Chinese migrants and their descendants in France during the Covid-19 pandemic. *European Societies* 2021; 23 (S1): S721–S742. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2020.1836384"

OP listed the authors as "**F. Wang, J. Lee, and S. Zhang**", which do not correspond to the authors listed by MIT Press.

Citation 3: "Wu, T. (2020, February 10). The long history of blaming immigrants in times of sickness. ***California Mexico Center******* https://www.california-mexicocenter.org/the-long-history-of-blaming-immigrants-in-times-of-sickness/"**

While the weblink is currently broken, Waybackmachine's archived snapshot of this link directs readers to this article: "The Long History of Blaming Immigrants in Times of Sickness" by Tara Wu, dated 19 Oct 2020. (originating from: https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smithsonian-institution/long-history-blaming-immigrants-times-sickness-180976053/)

OP cited the publication date as 10 Feb 2020 instead of 19 Oct 2020. In the paper, OP relies on this article to make five points:

a) "Chinese immigrants in California were scapegoated for nearly every disease outbreak, from smallpox to cholera (Wu 2020)." [Wu does not mention cholera specifically]

b) "in San Francisco's 1900 plague outbreak, authorities imposed a cordon sanitaire around Chinatown and subjected Chinese residents to intrusive inspections and forced fumigations, while largely sparing white neighborhoods (Wu, 2020)." [Wu does not mention this specific plague from 1900]

c) "For example, Toronto, one of the hardest-hit cities, saw business drop in Chinatown and racist mistrust of the Chinese-Canadian community, reminiscent of earlier epidemics (Wu, 2020)." [Wu does not mention Toronto, or Canada for that matter]

d) "This naming explicitly tied the disease to an ethnic group, echoing how 19th-century Americans spoke of 'Mongolian leprosy' or 'Chinese plague' (Wu, 2020)." [Wu does not mention Mongolia or leprosy]

e) "SARS was primarily framed as a public health issue, with a focus on science-based quarantine measures and containment strategies (Wu, 2020)." [Wu does not mention SARS specifically]

Are all of these questionable areas caused by human errors such as misspellings or typos?

249 · Reply · Share · ···

LifeRoll8099 • 3d ago

Update: thanks to MongooseLoose9388 for noting this peculiarity. The author repeats one sentence almost verbatim, attributing the same point to two different sources:

"After the plague struck colonial Hong Kong in 1894, European and American observers pointed to Chinese "filth" and medieval habits as the cause, marking China as a hygienically 'backward' land incubating ancient diseases (Benedict, 1996)."

"After plague struck colonial Hong Kong in 1894, European and American observers pointed to Chinese "filth" and medieval habits as the cause, marking China as a hygienically 'backward' land incubating ancient diseases (Li, 2013)."

122 · Reply · Share · ···

AlbusSimba • 4d ago

Even if the course allows the use of AI. One has to double check AI's work. I suspect OP wrote the text herself and used AI to find her references (reference organizer) to fit her narrative.

To get that many citations wrong when there is only like less than 25 citations is quite telling.

106 · Reply · Share · ···

FoxChoice12345 • 4d ago

It really does seem like AI hallucinations now that you mention it.

78 · Reply · Share · ···

twilightaurorae • 3d ago

Citation 1: Just a slight error in citation. The Wasp source is correct, and the title should be Chinese as Medical Scapegoats and perhaps in-text it could refer to the Three Graces.

Citation 2: Not necessarily proving AI. OP could have mistyped the authors from another paper. At least the paper title is valid. I do not believe AI can be proven.

10 · Reply · Share · ···

BattleEmpoleon • 3d ago

For Citation 2, there's no way you could mistype the authors in that way. The paper title being valid is easy to copy, and if you did, there would be no way you got the authors wrong THAT badly.

The only mistake I can think of for OP to do in good faith such that the names are flawed in this way was to use a citation generator e.g Scribblr, and it gave me the correct authors as a citation.

Search up the citation online and you'll see that the result is a pretty popular (195 citations) article that is publicly available. My unqualified opinion is that OP did their research using AI (which found this semi-popular source), typed the essay using the wrong research and citations provided by the program, and didn't double check the sources or the information provided.

33 · Reply · Share · ···

twilightaurorae • 3d ago

One as two or more tabs open, all showing different papers.

OP types in the title of the correct paper, but cites wrong authors (of a different paper). I find it totally possible.

I do not think Citation 2 is substantial enough to warrant AI use.

8 · Reply · Share · ···

[deleted] • 3d ago

akumian • 3d ago

Then it just shows how sloppy the work is.

13 · Reply · Share · ···

twilightaurorae • 3d ago • Edited 3d ago

A significant difference from having used generative AI.

And I think the essay as it holds, isn't sloppy at all. I have marked more "sloppy" work, those that was obviously done the night before.

10 · Reply · Share · ···

PainRack • 2d ago

That's going to be hard to debate though. .

When is it sloppy work Vs AI hallucinations?

2 · Reply · Share · ···

twilightaurorae • 2d ago

Sloppy writing is common whether typed or written. It is possible to start from the premise that it is sloppy work first unless it can be proven without doubt that AI was used.

4 · Reply · Share · ···

SEAsiantaway • 2d ago

But you not her teacher what

2 · Reply · Share · ···

twilightaurorae • 2d ago

uhhh so?

3 · Reply · Share · ···

SEAsiantaway • 2d ago

So whether you mark sloppy work that is sloppier than what she put up is not relevant

4 · Reply · Share · ···

twilightaurorae • 2d ago

and it is not relevant whether it was sloppy work. the question was whether it was proven without doubt that AI was used

7 · Reply · Share · ···

More replies

danilody • 4d ago

AI

22 · Reply · Share · ···

CivilBus4881 • 4d ago • Edited 4d ago

Your reply is unclear 😔 Are you saying that you are absolutely 1000000 % percent sure that OP has relied on AI chat bots/ai agents/ai engines to generate whole or significant part of it's essay based on your analysis??

2 · Reply · Share · ···

LifeRoll8099 • 3d ago

I do not claim to have omniscient knowledge that allows me to definitively identify the reason for these peculiarities. However, these peculiarities exist, and a fair-minded reader should at least consider these peculiarities to form a fuller understanding of the paper. As to whether these peculiarities originate from a human writer or a machine, let readers read the essay and its sources and judge for themselves.

63 · Reply · Share · ···

5 more replies

Bulky-Minute-9348 • 3d ago

Yes I'm absolutely sure. Cut the crap. There is enough evidence by now.

11 · Reply · Share · ···

CivilBus4881 • 3d ago • Edited 3d ago

Correlation between two things does not imply causation! Think about the risk, a patient checks in with persistent fatigue is sent to chemotherapy without conducting conclusive testing .The orginal replier of this thread who scrutinized the entire essay said it's not 100% sure.

1 · Reply · Share · ···

Rody-iwnl- • 3h ago • Edited 3h ago

I would not have made this public if I were you. Also, issues mentioned here are picked out by u/LifeRoll8099 here : https://www.reddit.com/user/CurveSad2086/comments/1m3nwa5/comment/n3yztk6

At the very least, what you posted proves you are not at all rigorous with proofreading. Presumably, this essay makes up a good chunk of the course grade. All essay courses tell you something along the lines "improper or missed citation can be academic offense". Yet citing these authors ...

> Simeng Wang, Xiabing Chen, Yong Li, Chloé Luu, Ran Yan, Francesco Madrisotti

... as this (lol what?) ...

> Wang, F., Lee, J., & Zhang, S.

... is just wild. You can't just blame a citation sorter, the one you mentioned certainly can not do that. I read some comments say that this may have been caused by copy-pasting the authors from another article by mistake. To that I say good luck finding one such article in the relevant field.

The two repeated occurences "...plague struck Hong Kong in 1894...", is also kinda sus™, them being cited from two different sources respectively makes it look even worse. It is not something you or any student in their right mind would realistically have done.

These and every other thing pointed out by u/LifeRoll8099 shows how **utterly unfamiliar** you are with your own essay. Think about it - if it didn't take someone in the replies very long to point these out, why is it that the person who typed it all out wasn't noticing these issues? And that naturally bares the question: **why are you so utterly unfamiliar with your own essay?** Like I said, I would have kept the essay for myself I were you.

Thanks for proving my trust in you was misplaced. Extra style points for the fact you are the one to do so yourself. If you wanted to slap your supporters in the face with the essay, congrats, not only did it work, it was a critical strike. I will take this as a lesson to not blindly believe anything heard online no matter how much I can relate.

PS. I still personally do not approve of prof. Sabrina's way of treating this. She should have been more clear in pointing out what the issues are - where it's sus™ - and the whole thing would have been over a lot earlier. And be careful when throwing words such as "AI" around, maybe.

15 · Reply · Share · ···

jjnngg2803 • 3d ago • Edited 3d ago

In my personal [not expert] opinion, there are definitely signs of AI generation in the citation.

I had never cite or copy links with query strings. These are signs of post-work citation using data scrubbing methods.

https://www.ibtimes.com/coronavirus-update-fear-spreading-virus-stoking-worldwide-anti-chinese-xenophobia-2913141#:~:text=Anti,itself%2C%20especially%20on%20social%20media

It should be this instead

https://www.ibtimes.com/coronavirus-update-fear-spreading-virus-stoking-worldwide-anti-chinese-xenophobia-2913141

Moreover, @LifeRoll8099 stated the citation abnormality as well. It's quite clear and unfortunate that you have left traces of AI while working on citation.

84 · Reply · Share · ···

jjnngg2803 • 3d ago • Edited 3d ago

P.s I read the whole essay, it looks pretty decent and could get away with it. However, the citation looks pretty cooked -

Instead of

https://www.foundsf.org/Chinese_as_Medical_Scapegoats,_1870-1905#:~:text=Chinese%20and%20Chinese,reason%20to%20restrict%20Chinese%20immigration

It should be

https://www.foundsf.org/Chinese_as_Medical_Scapegoats,_1870-1905#

Instead of

https://www.wsj.com/articles/china-is-the-real-sick-man-of-asia-11580773677?AID=15734583&PID=4003003&SID=m9k5kktx4101vr220023y&subid=Sovrn+Inc&cjevent=d3cedabd1ae011f0812100980a18ba73&tier_1=affiliate&tier_2=moa&tier_3=Sovrn+Inc&tier_4=2470763&tier_5=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.wsj.com%2Farticles%2Fchina-is-the-real-sick-man-of-asia-11580773677

It should be

https://www.wsj.com/articles/china-is-the-real-sick-man-of-asia-11580773677

29 · Reply · Share · ···

smokeysucks • 3d ago

To be fair citation reference links are not a key criterion to judge AI use.

In some academic journals, you don't see reference links or DOI links because they may get deleted after a certain time. In this particular case, as long as the links point to the same source, the format is generally not of a key concern.

A better way to judge clear AI use is a point from both @LifeRoll8099 and @MongooseLoose9388:

- the repetition of "After the plague struck colonial Hong Kong..." sentence and also citing two different sources for the exact same sentence. A human would highly not make an error of repeating the same sentence nor cite two different sources to the same exact sentence.

56 · Reply · Share · ···

jjnngg2803 • 3d ago

A mix of both yields higher probability that AI was used to find citation sources.

13 · Reply · Share · ···

smokeysucks • 3d ago

yes I get where you are coming from. but i'm just pointing out that because the link is not a broken one, and still points to the same source, that's not a strong criterion to tell AI use. The links aren't hallucinated in the cases you pointed out.

Nonetheless, we can be quite clear AI was used in this essay from my second point about the repetition and citing of different sources. To me, that's stronger criteria to disprove OP's stance.

13 · Reply · Share · ···

HistoricalRaise2271 • 3d ago

For the untrained eyes, or if you are seeing LLM work yes it looks pretty decent. For a trained eye it is akin to seeing a 3D scene from an autostereogram immediately. Imagine you are a freshman grading LLM essays with the same examples, pointers, arguments over and over again for different semesters you would be convinced that is an algorithm behind all of them even if you are not the subject matter of that module. And I am convinced that most profs have trained eyes.

12 · Reply · Share · ···

Any-Recognition386 • 2d ago

Sorry I'm an untrained eye, could you explain simply why this is in your opinion likely done by an LLM?

2 · Reply · Share · ···

HistoricalRaise2271 • 2d ago

I have explained in my other posts... hope that helps!

2 · Reply · Share · ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 4d ago • Edited 4d ago

You still want to play until so big. Don't get burnt in the end. Really so naive and immature. I guess it all boils down to one's character and personality.

If you really think that you are bullied by the uni or your prof or both, just quit the course.

If you still want to complete your course, you can imagine that all the profs will be very wary of you by now, looking at how you bash their colleague who is doing her job. Who will dare to teach you huh? Always remember that, in life, impression always count.

Life is very simple, it is humans that make it complicated. Good for you to do self-reflection. Anyway, the choice is yours and you do what you do.

138 · Reply · Share · ···

NotGangsta • 4d ago

she had the choice to let it die by not saying anything, but now wants the issue to die just because she's "done with it" after throwing out this hot mess of an essay.

of course, I dont think NTU would be petty enough to go after her intentionally but at this point its pretty out of her hands now that her essay is out there. someone even commented that this isn't the actual version of her submission lol.

45 · Reply · Share · ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 3d ago • Edited 3d ago

I guess she should have rest her case after NTU carried out a consultation session with him/her and yet he/she is still "showcasing" his/her AI work in public domain now after unsuccessful appeal. This shows that he/she still wants to play more in the mess.

20 · Reply · Share · ···

angeslarereal • 3d ago

Yea damn unnecessary lol. She wouldn't even have looked so guilty if she didn't release this full essay... Some people always want to have the last word I guess 🤷

24 · Reply · Share · ···

Tsylon • 3d ago

Huh? I'm not even affiliated to OP but genuinely confused of the optics of how this is all perceived. How is this having the last word? Even if she had cheated and is spinning an elaborate story, the goal here from my perspective is to save her grade... which affects what tier you graduate at and therefore also job prospects.

If she end up never cheat, a zero grade is the difference between honours and no honours. I dunno why this is hard to emphatize with. Unless she really cheated.

8 · Reply · Share · ···

angeslarereal • 2d ago

i can see why you say that. from my pov though, this doesn't seem to be about "getting a better grade" so much as caring about public opinion. according to her, NTU has already agreed she isn't using AI. so if she's already proven herself to the school & her appeal is being processed (as she claims), why bother releasing the full essay?

ultimately, what prompted her was when people online started doubting her claims. and since the essay is as poorly written as it is, she just looks guiltier. hence why i believe she could have just stayed silent instead of releasing more information that makes her look worse.

8 · Reply · Share · ···

Tsylon • 2d ago

She's still appealing for a better grade. That aside, she was doxxed and who cares if she wants to prove to the public that she didn't do something.

Sincerely, the Asian mentality of not causing trouble is so prevalent and most people would just default to letting things be. I hope but doubt the public will realize this is why it's so hard to have changes to the system, positive or negative.

Imagine she's really innocent (I doubt it), we just lynched this girl based on a false superior sense of moral justice that all of us might also be susceptible to.

5 · Reply · Share · ···

NotJohnVonNeumann • 2d ago

Don't you think it interesting that omitting your first sentence yields 3 paragraphs that could be equally, if not more applicable to the prof.

Yet, the public lynching and doxxing of the prof a month ago was many times more visceral and viscous than what is happening to the student, with some calling for resignations and lawsuits, others digging out her past, or even attributing a post from another subreddit to her (here, here, here, and here).

At the end of the day, she was the one who chose the public route instead of following due process. She was (and in some sense still is) the one hurling threats and accusations at the professor and NTU.

Perhaps if her reddit "fans" (with a certain Pauper leading the charge) had given wiser counsel she would not have been so emboldened with the false sense of public support. Maybe others would be more sympathetic had she had showed a bit of maturity in handling the situation and not fanned the flames of the online mob, only to cry foul when a tiny fraction of the same is reciprocated.

And that is why posts like yours are not doing *her* any good. You might think you are being fair-minded by going along the "theres still a chance she is innocent" route. Yes, there is a small chance she is innocent --- and even so I'd argue that the best course of action is to stop this online trial. But 9 times out of 10, you are just egging on, enabling really bad behavior.

10 · Reply · Share · ···

Inconvenient_realist • 1d ago

Hit the nail on the head on this one. Said Pauper seemed to have a personal vendetta against ntu and was more than happy to be an enabler to OP when OP first started out. If I recall said Pauper in the early days encouraged going to the press etc. After asking for some opinions on why it is AI, he/she decided to go silent on this thread when it became clear the posting of the whole essay shifted the opinions of redditors. So would one expect him/her to update the initial posts to at least cast some doubt based on latest information? I was surprised he/she doubled down that it was the fault of the prof even if it was ai clutching on straws on what the prof should have done not realizing that the argument relies solely on a perspective told from an unreliable narrator. See this reply - https://www.reddit.com/r/SGExams/s/Fbf2fCEH9n

Anyways whatever Pauper's vendetta is with ntu that's not for me to judge but in this instance as you said they hold some responsibility to this whole episode.

5 · Reply · Share · ···

NotGangsta • 3d ago

The problem is, dear, the verdict is out that she cheated and she basically made the verdict official by letting her essay be picked apart by the people. Just read the comments. There's even an entire chain speculating on whether this is the original report and not something sanitised for the public.

From her post history - especially her previous post where she acted blur about who the student in the reports was referring to, this is just her playing with fire again and testing boundaries.

For what reason? You'd have to ask her therapist. I think she needs help.

10 · Reply · Share · ···

Tsylon • 3d ago

The problem, dear, is that the verdict is ambiguous and there's no hard proof that she used AI. Let's not forget that she's going against a well established institution where a positive verdict will not be in their favour.

There are morons asking her to give up and not understanding why she continues to fight for the case. To get a better grade, duh.

Admittedly, the evidence doesn't look good for her side and it looks likely that she cheated. This is seperate from why she would push through with her case, which is my original point....

7 · Reply · Share · ···

NotGangsta • 3d ago

?? blud what talking you? the verdict WAS ambiguous, she cemented it by dropping the essay. do we not read the same essay? why are you encouraging her to pursue this by calling people who are advising her to stop morons? The best thing for her to do was lie low after NTU informed her of the outcome. She walked away from that by posting again. Next best thing to do is still to lie low and let the Internet forget. Mind you, this is not some guy going behind bars for 30 years for some false accusation. NTU's verdict + this hot mess should be more than enough evidence, and she's basically inviting NTU to pick apart her essay in public if they want to be petty and stoop to her level, which she knows they won't.

Your point is also pretty much moot tbh - this entire saga has always been more about her ego and proving a point and less on her grades. im not going to delve into character attacks against her at this point, but did you really think this was just about grades..?

7 · Reply · Share · ···

Tsylon • 3d ago

That's not how evidence works. Just because you or I as non-experts pass judgement, it doesn't mean she is guilty.

If she wants to fight for perceived justice, it isn't immature to do so as initially implied by the comment I replied to, but because her goal is seeking a better grade.

In the increasingly slim chance that she was wronged or is being silenced, she has a fighting chance. If not, she will look like a bigger fool. Until the situation has been throughly investigated, she should not be subjected to mob mentality or as you have pointed out, assumptions on her character.

I have my own opinions that might be in line with yours, but that doesn't mean that it's a fact. Our mob opinion recently vilified the teacher based on partial evidence, and now it's vilifying the student. Let them investigate. You have a right to be angry, but in the off chance you're wrong, you would have just condemned the image and trajectory of a young woman and incited others to follow suit.

1 · Reply · Share · ···

NotGangsta • 2d ago

So is the Internet now a warm an kind place where we offer unconditional support and zero negativity? Where were you when the biggest victim aka the prof was dragged across the mud?

I think you're giving the student more compassion than she deserves. SHE made this into a trial of public opinion, and rightly should be subjected to opinions from both ends of the spectrum. It seems to me that even though you constantly add in your replies that she's likely cheating, no result aside from her innocence will satisfy you. An expert panel looked at it so how much more needs to be investigated before we can decide that shes guilty? Or do the results and the essay provided by her paint a clear enough picture already?

There is no more to investigate unless people continue to enable her and encourage her to dig deeper. Which, for her sake, she should not be doing. As I mentioned earlier, this has always been more than about her grades. She needs to check her ego, take the L and move on to bigger things in life. It would be nice if she could find it in herself to apologise to the prof, but no way that's ever happening. Stop enabling her.

4 · Reply · Share · ···

akumian • 3d ago

If you read through the documents and have sufficient education, you will know it has AI fingers all over it.

6 · Reply · Share · ···

Impressive-Glove9057 • 16h ago

i think you should really read her re-written essay, there are hallucinations still. And it's doctored and changed from the original with the citations different from the original in HER OWN SS IN HER OWN original google doc defence ...

zzz

honestly. u gotta admire her 'balls' and audacity

2 · Reply · Share · ···

[deleted] • 2d ago

Comment removed by moderator

2 · Reply · Share · ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 2d ago

It is character of a person, nothing to do with gender 😊

3 · Reply · Share · ···

[deleted] • 2d ago

Comment removed by moderator

2 · Reply · Share · ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 2d ago

Not sure how he/she (pretending not to know the gender) is gonna to face his/her course mates, school mates and profs when the new academic term starts in 3 weeks time. I guess one have to face the music for his/her own actions, be it justified or unjustified. This episode does lasts a long time in peoples' mind. Hope that he/she can really learn from this and be a street-smart person in future. For others, it serves as a reminder to NEVER use the public domain (which is a double-edged sword) for personal agenda if they don't have a watertight case in the first place.

2 · Reply · Share · ···

MoneySwitch1273 • 4d ago

Everyone's entitled to defend themselves especially if they think they are not guilty... And doing so will always inconvenience those who think they are. If we accept this in other parts of our lives, perhaps its worth asking why it cannot hold in academia, which prides itself on rigour, debate, equality etc.

63 · Reply · Share · ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 4d ago

Yes, of course everyone is entitled to his defence, that's provided that he/she has a valid case to begin with. The case must be defended in a proper manner under the proper procedure. Unfortunately, using public domain to bash the uni and her prof is definitely not the right way to do it.

36 · Reply · Share · ···

Tsylon • 4d ago

This is so extremely naive and not understanding of how society works. It's like saying that if you faced sexual harassment or bullying from a colleague at work, you should go through the proper channels by reporting to HR, when we all know HR's job is to protect the company.

I'm not saying that OP has been cleared until we get to the bottom of it, but if you were the one being publicly dragged, and you were innocent, you won't be saying crap like this to suck it up.

47 · Reply · Share · ···

NotJohnVonNeumann • 2d ago

> but if you were the one being **publicly** dragged

Wait, when was the student was publicly dragged (through the mud)? Is it the same student I'm thinking about (CurveSad)? Because the only people who are getting smeared are the NTU profs, Sabrina in particular.

7 · Reply · Share · ···

4 more replies

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 3d ago

Just report to police if you face sexual harassment. As for bullying by colleague at work, just feedback to your supervisor or quit your job (if your company does nothing after your feedback). You are old enough to deal with problems in an adult manner so you don't need to come to Reddit to complain or go public media for help. Help that this helps to clarify your naive question.

7 · Reply · Share · ···

Tsylon • 3d ago

You're living in naivety. In this day and age, social media is a tool that can be used against potential abuses of power. We can see it being used all across the political spectrum, positively or negatively. It's used to rally support for causes and also in Singapore's case, to police morally questionable behavior. Nothing to do with being an adult. Again, the "proper channels" you have suggested are naive and the byproduct of living comfortably in Singapore which assumes every system acts in a moral and just manner. Delusional.

28 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 3d ago · Edited 3d ago

You are sick. Good to take your medicine regularly before it gets worse!

4 Reply Share ···

MoneySwitch1273 · 4d ago

IIRC, all the students relied on proper processes but they were ghosted at the appeals stage. And as an act of desperation, they went public with their cases, which worked. The two students who had appealed got hearings within days to assess if there were merits. OP's case cleared that hurdle because it was the professional opinion of faculty members (not redditors) that there were merits to her case.

57 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 4d ago

How do you know they were ghosted at the appeal stage huh?

12 Reply Share ···

MoneySwitch1273 · 4d ago

Cos they shared receipts?

37 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 4d ago

Hahahaha did they? One of them or both of them? They shared with you?

10 Reply Share ···

MoneySwitch1273 · 4d ago

Nope. They shared it with everyone in a google doc.

35 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 4d ago

How do you know what was shared is accurate?

10 Reply Share ···

MoneySwitch1273 · 3d ago

It's for the university to dispute facts. None of us can independently test evidence

23 Reply Share ···

More replies

prolix_verbosity · 4d ago

I don't completely disagree with you, but getting some real boomer energy from you dude.

34 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 4d ago

It is ok if you don't agree fully with me. No worries. Cheers! 😊

8 Reply Share ···

jupiter1_ · 4d ago · Edited 4d ago

At this point, if OP still think she never use AI, then just write out a affidavit to state that you never use loh, then state that you accept that this is the final outcome NTU has given, and you accept it based on the existing academic integrity rules. And that you will learn how to use proper reference softwares, and encourage current and future students of all IHL to put their references properly.

Anything else, tbh, is quite moot and a pointless struggle.

Though I am not sure if NTU will do a review on all past papers or work that OP did if it was done by AI.... That will be one more nuclear option NTU may do considering the character and integrity of the student is in question

45 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 3d ago

I don't think the uni will not carry out review on his/her past works as it is not out to ruin him/her. But who knows, if the uni is pushed to a corner, this might happen.

9 Reply Share ···

Horror_Assistance691 · 2d ago

not surprised if she just randomly reports/ stages nonsense abt ppl to ntu. this happened in year 2 ppga, she sent this regarding her doxxing others ... :

Hi everyone,

I apologise for the heavy message again as it only really involves a few people here.

Last month, with the help of a few profs, I've launched an online harassment case. For context: a few people have been sending vile hatred towards me by mass-emailing the school offices about the way I called out misogynistic behaviours online and uploading my privated content, which itself was puzzling.

The school, professors, and even the counselling centre has deemed this as severe cyberbullying and simply "reports by insecure individuals".

Today, I've received information that some of these people can be traced to our ppga cohort, which I felt really upset by, since I felt that this cohort was a safe space for me filled with many pleasant experiences.

Let us not have a cowardly culture where we resort to using anonymous emails to send hatred and threats. It's like legit NO BALLS even though I understand where your values came from.

If you contributed 😊😊😊

12 Reply Share ···

chingyuanli64 · 4d ago

Cook, continue to cook

Ever heard the chengyu 困兽犹斗? Describing your situation exactly. You know you can't win this, but you just don't want to admit that you're wrong. Apologise to the prof and the school and call it a day so you can still start a new chapter. If you don't, you will be living behind your own shadows forever.

62 Reply Share ···

Zxac123 · 3d ago

It's over for the 2 students who appeal bro, they have to keep trying to fight and "win" or else their face is even worst. If they end up "losing" or just admit defeat after complaining to the whole world with "lies", imagine they graduate and go out to work, which company want to hire them lol their personality is dishonesty. So they have no choice but to keep fighting

10 Reply Share ···

chingyuanli64 · 3d ago

Doing something wrong and not admitting it causes, in my opinion, more damage than apologising

8 Reply Share ···

Zxac123 · 3d ago

I agree, truly, but since the 2 have already 破釜沉舟 by deciding to go public and doxx the school the professors the panel etc (and even posted multiple times to say they are innocent)

It's already too late imo, you apologize now your records shown not only as dishonesty, but also likely a liability in causing pr or reputational risk in the public, even resort to falsifying records and statements.

No company will hire such a person, hence the 2 only resort (and clearly thought the same) is to keep fighting for a 1% or lower chance

11 Reply Share ···

HistoricalRaise2271 · 3d ago

My gut feel is that all 3 essays have the same arguments/ points and sentence structure but paraphrased in different manner.

7 Reply Share ···

chingyuanli64 · 3d ago

Well, they are adults and they have the right to do whatever they want to do

2 Reply Share ···

Ohyeah215 · 3d ago

just because u can doesn't mean u should

3 Reply Share ···

Impressive-Glove9057 · 16h ago

as adults, face the consequences lo..

2 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 3d ago

Agree that this is the best approach but I doubt it will be adopted in view of his/her current action.

2 Reply Share ···

chingyuanli64 · 3d ago

That is, none of my business. Doctors prescribe the treatment; whether the patient takes it, they don't care.

4 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 3d ago

Yup, everyone is responsible for his action or inaction.

3 Reply Share ···

Suitable_Aioli5447 · 4d ago · Edited 4d ago

Imma be so fr when I say that this is the first time I've seen someone format their section headers as <i> [#] Title </i> 😭 (then have a mixture of numbers/letters/nothing at all for the subheaders)

Don't think this points toward AI usage but it shows sloppy work imo

Edit: and the random switch to American spelling? "organizations", "racialized" (vs "racialised" in the same paragraph)

43 Reply Share ···

ForzentoRafe · 3d ago

Bruh, that American spelling is something I would do. It's annoying to see red lines so just autocorrect them.

If I'm working on the essay across multiple days, I may also just click ignore grammar on different days.

It's not something I will be consistent in 💀

31 Reply Share ···

Mysterious_Treat1167 · 1d ago

I don't think students were consistent with American-British spelling even before AI existed lmao. It happens when they use american sources.

4 Reply Share ···

Del9876 · 4d ago · Edited 4d ago

Regardless of the truth, NTU wants to take a strong stance for this to ensure the floodgates are not open. Otherwise, they have to handle multiple student appeals for this and it is a waste of resources for them.

Should have went closed doors first. Throwing it out to the public is already a loss.

24 Reply Share ···

Bulky-Minute-9348 · 4d ago

It was the lying students who went to the public, forcing Ntu hand.

43 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 4d ago

As a institution of higher learning, it has a duty to do so to protect its academic integrity.

10 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 4d ago

Nope. It is only about academic integrity, nothing else.

4 Reply Share ···

Impressive-Glove9057 · 16h ago

eh.. wait.. how come your citations here are different from your original SS in your original google doc defending your citations??????????????

Also, I've only read a little.. but there seems to be some hallucination.. for example this line is inaccurate? citation seems wrong??

'Officials and the press frequently referred to these enclaves as "breeding grounds" of plague, depicting Chinese immigrants' homes and even goods as vectors of infection (Human Rights Watch, 2020).'

Or maybe it's too early in the morning and my brain's wonky ...

can some1 help check too?

10 Reply Share ···

Still_Counter1298 · 4d ago

Give it up bro. Even after a "fair" hearing you can't accept that you cheated using AI - even uploading a different version from what you submitted.

34 Reply Share ···

Wuvist · 4d ago

you have a different submitted version?

23 Reply Share ···

ZeroPauper · 4d ago

u/HistoricalRaise2271 actually, which portions seem to be AI generated in your opinion?

Just wondering what language/grammatical structures are common for AI generated excerpts.

18 Reply Share ···

Neither-Internal-558 · 3d ago

Based on a quick look, there are some repeated syntax patterns in the paper that are more commonly associated with AI. The use of the em dash is one such pattern – not the dash itself but the use of it to create a pause between two definitive statements, as opposed to framing a definitive statement with a qualifying clause. The AI 'voice' also tends to generate sentences that are short, direct, descriptive and impersonal (tho I acknowledge there are ppl who may also write in such a manner). But the point is that these patterns are less commonly observed in human writing.

One possibility is that in the paper, the original em dashes were replaced by colons:

"The virus first emerged in Guangdong, China, and soon a familiar discourse followed: global media spotlighted Chinese cultural practices, such as the animals they consume, as bizarre and culpable."

"Media in other Western countries were not blameless: Some outlets resurrected explicitly racist tropes, as mentioned above with the Wall Street Journal headline."

"The tone and rhetoric used by governments during the SARS and COVID-19 outbreaks diverged significantly:

Western political rhetoric during these crises further amplified Sinophobic framing."

"The impact was swift: the virality of Trump's anti-Asian Tweets have directly contributed to a surge in anti-Asian hate crimes, with reports of violent attacks on Asian-Americans reaching disturbing levels (Reja, 2021)."

24 Reply Share ···

Inconvenient_realist · 3d ago

Any thoughts on findings from the redditors on this thread like - Liferoll8099 and MongooseLoose9388?

1 Reply Share ···

Swiftdancer · 4d ago

u/HistoricalRaise2271

1 Reply Share ···

HistoricalRaise2271 · 3d ago

Common sentence structure, vocab, choice of phrases, arguments/pointers. Some have already pointed out the commonalities and I'm not willing to encourage gaming of the system. Just put in the original requirements and elements into the LLM and see what you get. Like I said, imagine the prof's POV - receiving hundreds of similar looking essays and not being able to tell which submission batches are AI-gen (I got downvoted for this)? Also, now that I take a look at the references - Benedict, Ghosh, Human Rights Watch are commonly cited references by LLM. I'm willing to bet my last dollar against the contrary.

19 Reply Share ···

HistoricalRaise2271 · 3d ago

Oh and to OP, please don't dig a bigger hole than it already is. The uni is doing you a favour not exposing your identity despite the prof being identified. Close case and move on. Those who knows, knows exactly what you are doing. And for others who cast doubts on me for not being concrete, I did ask OP for a para at the start where everyone was overly supportive of her (not even considering her references/citations which was the point of the contention) because I saw a sentence or two that didn't sit right for me (someone did a poor job of paraphrasing AI content). Whether you believe or not, the next time when your prof ask you not to use AI at all, dont wriggle and talk about citation sorter is not AI - that is not the crux at all despite the framing around that sorter. Whatever draft recorder is also useless to prove your case since it can be gamed easily.

23 Reply Share ···

Optimal-Tomato-9068 · 4d ago

OP has an entire draftback video she paid for that shows the writing process of hers (see her initial posts), she can't post it because it reveals her name many times but even the school and all media outlets have acknowledged the video... the school couldn't prove AI (tbh there's concrete proof of no AI) then they want to nitpick mistakes?

16 Reply Share ···

Ikzz1 · 4d ago

This is literally your first and only comment on Reddit. Any dumbass can tell that you are an alt account of OP.

88 Reply Share ···

Horror_Assistance691 · 4d ago

ya HAHAH " anyone gg for italy exc" who doesnt know ure her ntu friend 😂😂

19 Reply Share ···

Ikzz1 · 4d ago

Her alt account, not friend. If it's a friend it would have more activity. I don't know with such a toxic personality, she would even have any friends.

30 Reply Share ···

Horror_Assistance691 · 4d ago

OOF HAHAHAAHAHA.... someone shld go be some fbi and find out whr she went for exc LOL xiasuay if its her alt or her bf

14 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 4d ago

Even he/she gets all the support here, it won't change the outcome in any way.

7 Reply Share ···

Dustdevilss · 4d ago

This plus moneyswitch also another possible alt. This OP split personality lol

14 Reply Share ···

Alfrappe · 4d ago

draftback is useless. if im diligent, ill type out the work word for word from ai so i can use draftback for evidence when such occasion arises

16 Reply Share ···

CivilBus4881 · 4d ago

this is what is keeping me engaged on this case

6 Reply Share ···

lord_swallow · 4d ago

Ruot, the world doesn't revolve around you.

Context: Ruot is OP

46 Reply Share ···

Tsylon · 4d ago

Why doxx her when the truth has not been out yet? The school also has a reputation to protect at all costs. I haven't made my verdict yet but I'm giving her the benefit of the doubt at the moment.

18 Reply Share ···

lord_swallow · 4d ago

The verdict has been out, for a very long time.

She has been awarded a zero.

37 Reply Share ···

Tsylon · 3d ago

That's being disputed. I have an issue with you because you seem like someone with an agenda. There is absolutely no need to doxx someone, even if they did wrong on such a scale, if it doesn't cause harm to others. I don't know OP personally, but I find it suspicious.

26 Reply Share ···

Inconvenient_realist · 3d ago

Fundamentally agree that there is no need to dox someone even if the doxxing occurred in the first instance. Where i disagree is the notion that it doesn't cause harm to others. While it is true we can't have 100% certainty, the many examples of suspicious use of references and data by redditors here is finally giving some hints of why there was suspicion in the first place. This of course was not available to us earlier and that was where there was a trial by public opinion on his/her prof. Said prof of course was not able to defend herself in the public other than through the ntu process. While we all like the story of an underdog triumphing over the overbearing establishment, in this case, it seemed many disregarded the possibility of an unreliable narrator in the first place. While i still can't conclude conclusively, a more balanced view is established now as evident by replies in this thread versus the original ones. Cheers

33 Reply Share ···

Tsylon · 3d ago

I appreciate the critical thinking but maintain that there's still no need to doxx someone. The punishment doesn't fit the crime. This seems to be exclusively a Singaporean vigilante mentality where we feel the need to exact punishment on a random person of whom we have no clue what life circumstances they have gone under. You want to school a cheater? Let them be disciplined by the authorities. Not humiliate them publicly. We treat rapists who have a gag order on their names with more dignity.

In this case, the person doxxing her clearly knows who she is. What their intentions are for doxxing her do not even seem to be out of seeking moral justice, but for revenge. Therefore if we truly are justifying our intentions to be a moral society, this doesn't seem to be the way to do it.

That being said, I agree with you that I have my suspicions about the paper after reading it. But regardless of the investigation outcome, I maintain that there's no need to humiliate and ruin the life of a young girl, especially not because it feels good to exact mob revenge.

9 Reply Share ···

Inconvenient_realist · 3d ago

Thanks for engaging with me. To clarify, I am with you that doxxing her for any reason is wrong. Two wrongs don't make a right. To add, whatever the OP may have been related to previously is also not relevant here as to me, this is a separate issue and there is no need to judge her on that.

I was merely making the point that if OP was indeed wrong, it wasn't a victimless act as the Prof was named and vilified just a month ago based on a one sided narrative. If the Prof was never named/identified, I would agree that there was indeed no real harm as ntu as an institution can defend itself through its own processes. If so many of us here, most I'm assuming are not in academics/teaching, can point out some of these suspicions, I can only assume how glaringly obvious it would be for a person that teaches and grades said subject for a living. And I agree with your point on the Singaporean (although I'd argue it's probably not only SG) vigilante mentality but would like it to also be applied at the first instance when no one had the full picture but many jumped on the bandwagon of hating on the Prof.

To conclude, I think we both agree on most points. My additional stance is that the same standards should be applied to all when it comes to pre conceived judgements. But no doxxing under any circumstances!

12 Reply Share ···

Tsylon · 3d ago

I agree with you on the Prof point. It would be nice if both subs had mods that actively removed doxxing for both, until there is a conclusion to the investigation that becomes public. It's sad to see Singaporeans so willing to tear down others when most of them are susceptible to either scenario.

3 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 3d ago

Well said

2 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 3d ago · Edited 3d ago

I guess that person can already be easily identified by a simple google search without the use of AI. There are some earlier non-related episodes floating in the internet.

8 Reply Share ···

Zxac123 · 3d ago

Holy shit, if the student is really her, the one that gone viral for the scandal and her actions in NTUSU.... Yeah that pretty much sums up the student as a person

11 Reply Share ···

MoistSyrup1997 · 2d ago

Ah. Glorious. Arts and Social Sciences (ASS). This qualifies as undergrad work? I mean, really? Maybe its racially linked because diseases are ethnogenic? What disease isn't? Chinese Ebola? Can they study something more useful like epidemiology instead of ASS like these?

Granted NTU is no Yale, but..... i know kids who can make better cases than these, without AI. Top of my head I can play devil's advocate, and really lean into the ethnogenicity of hygienic paranoia, particularly towards outside cultural groups. If you wanna use LLM, couldnt you do something that no one has explored? At least the insight would be tolerated.

4 Reply Share ···

PainRack · 2d ago

Eh... As undergraduate work, it's ok what. Also, how is disease ethnogenic ? Are you referring to the different morbidity/mortality rate or what ?

3 Reply Share ···

Count_Current · 4d ago

Did you remove the fake links and contents?

46 Reply Share ···

Stock_Advertising786 · 4d ago · Edited 4d ago

Even if we assumed all the links were legitimate, mate, there are plenty of mistakes that only a gen AI would make. Go take a look. I'm surprised the OP thought releasing this would be beneficial to her story. Imagine trying to pass off a made-up mathematical calculation (339%) as a mistake without even stating the numbers she used from the link she provided.

35 Reply Share ···

Ikzz1 · 4d ago

Yeah even the wrong citations she admitted she made has disappeared from the doc.

52 Reply Share ···

nightcar76 · 4d ago

She took so long to release this because she was busy removing all the AI hallucinations LOL

43 Reply Share ···

Impressive-Glove9057 · 16h ago

STILL HAVE HALLUCINATIONS! lololol . u go read the context and her citations.. some are inaccurate

2 Reply Share ···

MoneySwitch1273 · 4d ago

they are all there

3 Reply Share ···

nightcar76 · 4d ago

Nice alt account lol, the fact that the account was just created today is sending me

34 Reply Share ···

MoneySwitch1273 · 4d ago

bro, even if I am an alt account, all the mistakes and omissions she flagged previously are reproduced in her essay. She writes well and thinks clearly. I just hope she remembers to sweat the details in future. People will hang you for it, especially if you are good and they have few other things to hang you with.

21 Reply Share ···

Dustdevilss · 4d ago

You mean to say AI written. But seriously how is this considered well written and well thought lol? The number of mistakes made is appalling

38 Reply Share ···

MoneySwitch1273 · 3d ago

I can't actually tell human writing apart from AI writing. In corporate life, the sought after writing voice is very similar to the AI voice. It's, in fact, a no brainer why the AI tools write the way they do -- for paying corporate clients

1 Reply Share ···

cherrypoplar · 3d ago

Imagine you are writing in a corporate setting, and you are explaining to your boss/coworker about company policy. You use AI that miscites the policy, coming up with stuff that the policy never says (just like how OP's essay does). If I were your boss I would fire you immediately.

47 Reply Share ···

MoneySwitch1273 · 1d ago

I don't disagree with you. I said AI voice sounds like corporate voice. I didn't say AI completely correct, gospel truth... have never made that argument

1 Reply Share ···

temporary_name1 · 20h ago

For a study though, the voice is all wrong. It should have been passive and formal, with little to no hyperbole. If you're gonna use AI, at least prompt the AI to use the right style, sentence structure and tenses before letting it start writing.

There is also a switch in tenses within the same paragraph every now and then, which could indicate the manual stitching of sentences together.

Also, at least 2 odd uses of colons before huge paragraphs, as though the huge paragraphs were expanded from bulleted points (i.e. the writing style that AIs generally prefer)

1 Reply Share ···

BallNelson · 3d ago

But also Coy: Use AI.

2 Reply Share ···

Impressive-Glove9057 · 16h ago

RIGHT???? the citations are different from her original SS!!! WTF!!!!!! i thought she said she dropped the original essay??????

2 Reply Share ···

Alert-Rise3003 · 6h ago

OP are you or are you not the same person involved in the Ethan Ong SU case?

9 Reply Share ···

stereohouse · 3d ago

Wahlao... Editing needs to improve. It's not justified. I will jump at this before AI

8 Reply Share ···

alwaysrightranter · 3d ago

op from ntu but dk use zotero???

14 Reply Share ···

Water_Cress_Salad · 2d ago

So I was right in saying the full story wasn't told.

9 Reply Share ···

KBDMASS · 4d ago

Alyo Alyo AI AI yooo...

11 Reply Share ···

vetvut · 3d ago

Yooo please teach me how to become this good on essays + how much time you spent on writing and researching this

4 Reply Share ···

PotatoFeeder · 3d ago

Good? Lmao

Got quite a few glaring holes, especially at the start

21 Reply Share ···

[deleted] · 3d ago

Comment deleted by user

Reply Share

vetvut · 3d ago

Its satire bruh😂😂

13 Reply Share ···

PotatoFeeder · 3d ago

I read thru the essay

Content doesnt look AI generated at all

But like the first and last 1/4 feels like written by a different person vs the middle 1/2 of the essay

Standard of writing is so different

2 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 3d ago

Use AI

8 Reply Share ···

Nessieinternational · 5h ago

Seems like you told us the small fish, but left out the big fish

5 Reply Share 216 ···

Ok_Significance5746 · 3d ago

加油

4 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 3d ago

For your entertainment?

4 Reply Share ···

Fragrant_Site9052 · 3d ago

Yes! Let OP die on that hill. 🍿🍿🍿

4 Reply Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 3d ago

You are sick, really sick? Seen a doctor?

2 Reply Share ···

Fragrant_Site9052 • 3d ago

Super ill, thanks for asking. Think I'll feel better watching this soap opera play out tho.

8 Reply Share ···

anotheranonreddit0r • 2d ago

Essay pov sounds like it was written by a West Taiwanese.

Author's opinions go into the trash.

3 Reply Share ···

[deleted] • 4d ago

Comment removed by moderator

1 Reply Share ···

MongooseLoose9388 • 4d ago

>After plague struck colonial Hong Kong in 1894, European and American observers pointed to Chinese “filth” and medieval habits as the cause, marking China as a hygienically “backward” land incubating ancient diseases (Li, 2013).

>Li, P.-T. (2013). Colonialism versus nationalism: The plague of Hong Kong in 1894. The Journal of Northeast Asian History, 10(1), 97–128.

It is Lee not Li. But that is not the crux. The author says "When the dust settled, the general opinion of the time was that the plague was mainly caused by the poor living conditions of Chinese". It is the poor living conditions not medieval habits. Neither did it say china incubate ancient diseases.

13 Reply Share ···

MongooseLoose9388 • 4d ago

Would also like to point out that there is another part in the essay which says the same thing but uses a different reference.

> After the plague struck colonial Hong Kong in 1894, European and American observers pointed to Chinese “filth” and medieval habits as the cause, marking China as a hygienically “backward” land incubating ancient diseases (Benedict, 1996).
>
> After plague struck colonial Hong Kong in 1894, European and American observers pointed to Chinese “filth” and medieval habits as the cause, marking China as a hygienically “backward” land incubating ancient diseases (Li, 2013).

13 Reply Share ···

MongooseLoose9388 • 4d ago

To add. the Benedict paper is 1988 not 1996.

10 Reply Share ···

Swiftdancer • 4d ago

u/CurveSad2086 did you delete that comment that got removed? If you did, what was wrong with it?

8 Reply Share ···

MongooseLoose9388 • 4d ago

Just want to point out that the deleted posts were by me. I did not delete them. the posts point out the errors in the essay.

To keep it short.

One part of the essay say the cited paper says china is epicenter of zoonotic disease outbreak. the paper did not mention that.

The essay cited 339% which the OP claim is a miscalculation. but of the numbers provided in the cited article, there's no way to get 339%.

The citation to Why shutting down Chinese 'wet markets' could be a terrible mistake. points to the wrong link. if OP has copy and pasted the link, there shouldn't be a way for it to be wrong?

15 Reply Share ···

Reddit, Inc. © 2025. All rights reserved.